

JAN 0 3 2008

OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

Ī

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	No. 06-1762	
PERCIVAL R. BRADLEY, Bar No. 017149	) )	DISCIPLINARY COMMISS REPORT	SION
RESPONDENT.	ý	REI OKI	

This matter came before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona on December 8, 2007, pursuant to Rule 58, Ariz.R.Sup.Ct., for consideration of the Hearing Officer's Report filed October 24, 2007, recommending acceptance of the Tender of Admissions and the Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Tender) and the Joint Memorandum (Joint Memorandum) in Support of Agreement for Discipline by Consent providing for censure, one year of probation with the State Bar's Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) to include a practice monitor, and costs.

## Decision

Having found no facts clearly erroneous, the nine members of the Disciplinary Commission unanimously recommend accepting and incorporating the Hearing Officer's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation for censure, one year of probation (LOMAP with practice monitor) and costs of these disciplinary proceedings. The terms of probation are as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A copy of the Hearing Officer's Report is attached as Exhibit A.

## 6 7 ጸ 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 26

1

2

3

4

5

## Terms of Probation

- 1. Respondent shall contact the LOMAP director and thereafter, enter into a probation contact with terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the LOMAP director or designee. The recommendations of the LOMAP director shall be incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. Respondent shall obtain a practice monitor approved by bar counsel and LOMAP director and comply with any recommendations made.
  - 3. Respondent shall pay all costs incurred in these discipline proceedings.
- 4. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the imposing entity a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within 30-days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

J. Conrad Baran, Chair **Disciplinary Commission** 

Original filed with the Disciplinary Clerk this 3<sup>nd</sup> day of

Copy of the foregoing mailed

2

24

25

Honorable H. Jeffrey Coker Hearing Officer 6R P.O. Box 23578 Flagstaff, AZ 86002-0001 Nancy A. Greenlee Respondent's Counsel 821 East Fern Drive North Phoenix, AZ 85014-3248

David L. Sandweiss
Bar Counsel
State Bar of Arizona
4201 North 24th Street, Suite 200
Phoenix, AZ 85016-6288

/mps